

Letters

Comments on “Cross-Coupling in Coaxial Cavity Filters—A Tutorial Overview”

Tim Reeves and Nicholas van Stigt

This TRANSACTIONS' April 2003 “Special Issue on RF and Microwave Tutorials” contained the above paper [1]. In [1, Sec. V-A], the author stated that he “. . . is not aware of any closed-form solutions that are capable of generating a coupling matrix for the nested structures of Section IV. Analytical methods exist for CT and CQ structures only.”

The “nested structures” that the author describes have three or more signal paths. In a more general sense, there would be $N - 1$ signal paths for third- or fourth-order filter structures or $N - 2$ signal paths for filter structures of fifth order and higher.

The specific structures that the author refers to are the four-pole two asymmetric transmission zero filter and the five-pole three transmission zero filter. The general nomenclature given to these structures are “general sections” and “cascaded quintuplets,” respectively. In [2], an analytical method for deriving the admittance matrix and, hence, the coupling matrix, is presented for the “general section” or the four-pole two asymmetric transmission zero filter. Likewise, the analytical method for deriving the admittance matrix and, hence, coupling matrix for the “cascaded quintuplet” or five-pole three transmission zero filter is presented in [3].

It is our interpretation of the author's comments quoted above that the material presented in [2] and [3] fulfills the definition of closed-form solutions that are capable of generating coupling parameters for the nested structures presented in [1].

REFERENCES

- [1] J. B. Thomas, “Cross-coupling in coaxial cavity filters—A tutorial overview,” *IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory Tech.*, vol. 51, pp. 1368–1376, Apr. 2003.
- [2] T. B. Reeves, N. D. van Stigt, and C. W. Rossiter, “A method for the direct synthesis of general sections,” in *IEEE MTT-S Int. Microwave Symp. Dig.*, May 20–25, 2001, pp. 1471–1474.
- [3] T. B. Reeves and N. D. van Stigt, “A method for the direct synthesis of cascaded quintuplets,” in *IEEE MTT-S Int. Microwave Symp. Dig.*, June 2–7, 2002, pp. 1441–1444.

Manuscript received June 4, 2003.

T. Reeves is with the TRAK Microwave Corporation, Tampa, FL 33634 USA. N. van Stigt is with NorthEastern Microwave, Halifax, NS, Canada B0N 2S0. Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TMTT.2003.817425

Corrections to “Comparison of Fully Distributed and Periodically Loaded Nonlinear Transmission Lines”

J.-M. Duchamp, P. Ferrari, M. Fernandez, A. Jrad, X. Mélique, J. Tao, S. Arscott, D. Lippens, and R. G. Harrison

The above paper [1] contains several errors as delineated below.

- 1) Fig. 2(a) and (b) should be reversed.
- 2) The correct form of (1) is

$$f_{B,num} = \frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{L_l \Delta z C_{ls} \Delta z}} = \frac{1}{\pi \Delta z \sqrt{L_l C_{ls}}}. \quad (1)$$

- 3) The second sentence below Fig. 6 should read “Fig. 7 shows the amplitude of this harmonic versus the ratio $f_{B,num}/f_{c,ls}$.”
- 4) The second sentence of paragraph 2 on p. 1108 should read “Here Z_{cpw} is the characteristic impedance of the linear CPW, τ is the time delay corresponding to one elementary section, R_{DC} represents dc losses in the conductors, $C(V)$ is the voltage-variable capacitance of a single HBV, and R_s is its series resistance.”
- 5) The first sentence of paragraph 3 on p. 1108 should read “Four input parameters are needed to determine the electrical model of an elementary section: the Bragg frequency f_B , the small-signal characteristic impedance Z_{cpw} of the CPW, its large-signal value Z_{ls} (set to a constant 50 Ω), and the number of nonlinear elements N .”
- 6) The fourth sentence of paragraph 3 on p. 1108 should read “The possible domain of variation for Z_{cpw} is 60–120 Ω .”
- 7) The correct form of the first equation on p. 1108 is

$$\tau = \frac{Z_{ls}}{\pi f_b Z_{cpw}}.$$

- 8) The third sentence of the last paragraph on p. 1108 should read “In the second step, the CPW per-length inductance L_{cpw-z} and capacitance C_{cpw-z} , the zero-biased HBV capacitance C_{j0-z} , and the large-signal cutoff frequency $f_{c,ls}$ are calculated.”
- 9) The correct form of the second equation on p. 1108 is

$$R_{DC} = \rho_{met} \frac{d}{e_{met} W}.$$

- 10) The correct form of the seventh equation on p. 1108 is

$$d = \frac{\tau}{\sqrt{\epsilon_{reff}}}.$$

Manuscript received May 15, 2003.

J.-M. Duchamp and P. Ferrari are with the Laboratoire d'Hyperfréquences et de Caractérisation, Université de Savoie, 73376 Savoie, France.

M. Fernandez, X. Mélique, S. Arscott, and D. Lippens are with the Institut d'Electronique, Microélectronique et de Nanotechnologie, Université des Sciences et Technologies de Lille, 59655 Lille, France.

A. Jrad is with the Laboratoire de Physique Appliquée, Faculté des Sciences III, Université Libanaise BP, 14-6573 Liban, France.

J. Tao was with the Laboratoire d'Hyperfréquences et de Caractérisation, Université de Savoie, 73376 Savoie, France. He is now with the ENSEEIHT—INPT, National Polytechnique of Toulouse, 31071 Toulouse, France.

R. G. Harrison is with the Department of Electronics, Carleton University, Ottawa, ON, Canada K1S 5B6.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TMTT.2003.817430